

### REMARKS

On May 21, 2007, the Examiner issued a Restriction Requirement. In the Restriction Requirement, the Examiner identified claims 1-16 as being drawn to a method for manufacturing a photoreceptor and identified claims 17-20 as being drawn to a dip coating apparatus. The Examiner required election between these two inventions because they were deemed to be distinct from one another.

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicants elect without traverse the invention set forth in Claims 1-16. The listing of the claims presented above indicates that claims 1-16 are pending in the application and are ready for examination. Claims 17-20 have been withdrawn in response to the Restriction Requirement and the election of invention presented in this paper. Examination and allowance of all the pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
MAGINOT, MOORE & BECK LLP



David M. Lockman  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 34,214

June 7, 2007  
Maginot, Moore & Beck LLP  
Chase Tower  
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3250  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5109  
(317) 638-2922 Telephone  
(317) 638-2139 Facsimile